ob.c	.A,\$SIFIED oved(ForPfReleas	RESTRICTF* CONF SEI20002408/22 I: OTIANRO	DENTIAL DP 560000701	SECRET A 0001 0014
	CE	NTRAL INTELLIGENCE	AGENCY	
	0	FFICIAL ROUTING	SLIP	
Γ0			INITIALS	DATE
ı	Chief, Audit	Division, I&S	en	31 Marie
2	Deputy Compt 209 Central	roller	5970	100ps1
3	Comptroller 209 Central			100/10/
4				
5				
ROM			INITIALS	DATE
1	Finance Divi 2024 "L" Bui		TWW	30 mil
2			11/	
3				
	APPROVAL	INFORMATION		SIGNATURE
_	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY		RETURN
		1		
	COMMENT	PREPARATION OF		DISPATCH
	CONCURRENCE	RECOMMENDATION	N	FILE
REM	IARKS:			
			-	
			:	
			•	

30 March 1951

MEMORANDUM

TO : The Comptroller

THRU: Chief, Audit Division, I&S
Deputy Comptroller

FROM: Acting Chief, Finance Division

SUBJ: Reimbursement for Mileage and Parking Fees for Use of Privately Owned Automobiles in the Metropolitan Area

- 1. In accordance with your request, we submit the following comments with respect to the attached recommendation of the Training Division that parking fees and reimbursement for mileage be allowed training instructors while using privately owned automobiles for official business in the Metropolitan area.
- 2. In June of 1950 after having noticed a marked increase in the number of requests for reimbursement of such items from the Training Division as well as many other components of the Agency, the question of allowability for such items was raised at a staff meeting held by the Executive. After discussion of the problem it was determined that the Finance Division should not continue to allow such claims except where the need therefor had been clearly established and proper travel orders had been issued. This exception was intended to provide for regular trips to covert training sites outside the city but in the nearby area. For trips within the city, it was determined that public transportation or transportation furnished by the motor pool, including permanently assigned vehicles, should be utilized. If these facilities were not satisfactory, it was determined that the matter should be taken up with the then Administrative Staff, with a view toward assignment of more vehicles or other appropriate action. This information was given to the offices concerned in late June of 1950, which apparently is the basis for the statement in Para. 4 of the attached memorandum that the Finance Division on 1 July 1950 ruled that no further reimbursement would be allowed for mileage charges within the District of Columbia.
- 3. We interpret the Travel Regulations to include parking fees and other miscellaneous expenses in the reimbursement at .07 cents per mile if such is granted. If this interpretation is correct, it would not appear appropriate to make reimbursement on a mileage basis and, in addition, allow parking fees or other miscellaneous expenses. However, in our opinion, it is doubtful that the Regulations were intended to cover the situation confronting training instructors and others in CIA, who find it necessary to make frequent short trips within the city because security considerations will not permit conduct of the business in CIA buildings.
 - 4. We recommend that consideration be given to the following:
 - (a) Assignment of vehicles to organizational units where the volume of business warrants.

Approved For Release 2002/08/22 : CIA-RDP56-00071A000100040003-1

- (b) More extensive use of the Motor Pool when vehicles described in (a) are not available.
- (c) The use of public transportation when (a) and (b) are not available.
- (d) The use of taxi cabs when (a), (b), and (c) are not available; and
- (e) The use of privately owned automobiles for regular trips of a definite duration, when properly authorized in travel orders, and for miscellaneous trips when no other means of transportation is available.

If the use of privately owned automobiles for short trips within the city is approved, we recommend consideration of reimbursement at a fixed mileage rate, and parking fees when necessary within the District of Columbia. Our reason for recommending that privately owned vehicles be used within the city only as a last resort, is that claims therefor are excessively difficult and expensive to administer. Even if claimed on a monthly basis, we believe it would cost the Government considerably more than the amount of the claim to effect payment and process the vouchers.

5. We recommend that this matter be referred to the Transportation Branch prior to re-submission to the Deputy Director.

	25817
Acting Chief, Finance Division	

Attachment

		-	
UNCLASSIFIED RESTR (SENDER WILL CIRCLE	CLASSIFICATION TO	DENTIAL DP AND BOTTOM	SICRET
	INTELLIGENCE	÷	
	IAL ROUTING		
ТО		INITIALS	DATE
m		HAM	10/2100 5
2 224		WED	
3 7		0220	
	100 - 1	CM	
" Comptrally		-	-
5			
FROM	70 .	INITIALS	DATE
1 Comptel	lev	SAF	3 mus
2			
3			
			4
APPROVALACTION	☐ INFORMATION ☐ DIRECT REPLY		SIGNATURE
+3 COMMENT		5-50 V	RETURN
CONCURRENCE/ 231	PREPARATION OF	-	DISPATCH FILE
•		4	
REMARKS: (1) Part. of	14 John mil	dia m	l. l.
appears	reagonable	il Trica	Dune
and are	not to + f	your mor	k
justifice	tron la man	o basia	on appear
for pank	ing fee and	17 4 10	en chappe
SECRE CONFIDENTAL	payment 1	unula Inci	ASS IF IF
EORM NO 100-4 for little	(1) actual	nearing	Manaea

25X1A9A

UNAPPROVED FOR RESTRICTED 8/22: (SENDER WILL CIRCLE CLASSIFICATI	NFIDENTIAL CIA-RDP56-00071A000100040003- ON TOP AND BOTTOM)
CENTRAL INTELLIGENC	E AGENÇY
OFFICIAL ROUTING	G SLIP
ТО	INITIALS DATE
1 The Comptuller 2 att MR. Survice	
2 att Mr. SayNist	es .
3	
4	
FROM	INITIALS DATE
1 Mant	No.
2	
3	
APPROVAL INFORMATIO	ON SIGNATURE
ACTION DIRECT RES	PLY RETURN
COMMENT	ON OF REPLY DISPATCH
CONCURRENCE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
REMARKS: of expensed by	1,000-13 g
La tall Want	3414
The other Two Down	mur
P.S. I imon your	. • •
Aspriced For The Presentago 2/08/22:51	
- 2BCKEL - CONTABEN MACE SOUTE 3	4-1

FORM NO- 30-4 SEP 1,947

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

25X1A9A

Chief, TRD TO

13 January 1951

Chief, CTB/TRD FROM

SUBJECT: Request for Mileage and Parking Fee Reimbursement for Privately Owned Automobiles of CTB Instructors.

- 1. The moving of the Covert Training Branch from Building 13 to the Recreation and Service Building presents an immediate and serious transportation problem for CTB instructors, daily, to come and go from the office to our covert training sites. While at Building 13, it was always possible to hail a taxicab at Constitution Avenue and 23d Street which is not the case where we are now situated.
- 2. It is granted that, on occasion, it would no doubt be possible to hail a cruising taxicab on Ohio Drive. However, the uncertainty of this, coupled by the fact that in the ordinary course of our daily routine six or seven men must leave the office at about the same time each morning to meet scheduled appointments, makes it obvious that cruising taxicabs are no solution to the problem. Other alternatives, such as using the CIA Shuttle or public bus service to Constitution Avenue and 23d Street, and there getting a taxicab, or of daily ordering a fleet of taxicabs to the office, are impractical, time-consuming, and not feasible securitywise.
- 3. Further, to fully appreciate the problem, it is noted that our heavy workload and the limited number of instructors and training sites makes it necessary usually for each instructor daily to have appointments with two different students at two different sites with the attendant necessity of shuttling back and forth to the office to pick up and dispose of classified training materials, books and equipment.
- 4. Studied consideration makes it evident that the only workable and secure solution of the problem is for individual instructors to use their privately-owned automobiles for which they should be given reimbursement. This previously had been allowed, but on 1 July 1950 Confidential Funds ruled that no further reimbursement would be allowed for mileage charges on privately-owned automobiles within the District of Columbia limits and, further, that no reimbursement would be forthcoming for parking fees. In respect to the parking fee problem, it is noted that it is usually impossible to park an automobile within a reasonable distance of several of our downtown covert sites. It is therefore necessary to resort to public parking lots, the substantial daily charges for which also should be recognized reimbursable expenses for official business.

Mrs 5 13k1

- 5. A procedure has already been established whereby CTB instructors are issued a Domestic Travel Order valid for six months, which authorizes mileage reimbursement on privately-owned automobiles for training trips outside of the District of Columbia. It is noted that at this time all of our covert sites are located within the limits of the District of Columbia. Instructors, under this procedure, are reimbursed out of a Revolving Fund established for CTB to cover such expenses upon the instructor's submission of a signed itemized expense account which is audited and approved by Confidential Funds.
- 6. Approval and authorization is hereby requested that the Domestic Travel Orders in question be amended, authorizing reimbursement for mileage charges on privately-owned automobiles and parking fees for all travel on official business whether within or without the District of Columbia.

25X1A9/

١	L	L	Ε	G	ΙB
---	---	---	---	---	----

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/08/22: CIA-RDP56-00071A000100040003-1

00	MP	L	Par d	111	۳,	a .
UU	141	TU	i i	¥	*	11

April 1951	

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE COMPTROLLER

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

SUBJECT:

Administrative Policies Governing the Use

of Taxicabs

- 1. Staff officers of OPC have recently protested alleged inconsistencies between the Fiscal and Finance Divisions in the matter of accepting or rejecting claims for reimbursement of taxi fares. They also have called attention to the fact that paragraph of the Confidential Funds Regulations contains no positive statement of administrative policy regarding the use of taxicabs. Each of the three circumstances cited in the regulation pertain exclusively to the use of taxis under security conditions which warrant or require the nonuse of government or public transportation facilities. Consequently, by implication at least, taxis may never be authorized for other reasons. Lastly, attention has been called to the Standardized Government Travel Regulations, as amended 1 October 1950. The wording used in Section 3, Paragraph 11, seems to indicate a more lenient and possibly realistic government view toward the use of taxis.
- 2. The only criteria cited in the Standardized Government Travel Regulations, however, is that the use of such facilities be authorized or approved as "advantageous to the government". The result is that neither the employee nor the approving officer has any clear concept as to when the use of taxis may be properly authorized or approved, particularly when taxis are used at the designated post of duty.
- 3. I recommend in view of the above, that the CFR's be amended to include a positive statement of policy permitting the use of taxicabs, and, secondly, that a series of circumstances or criteria be prepared which can be issued in appropriate administrative instructions for the guidance of employees, approving and authorizing officers, and Fiscal and Finance Certifying Officers.

25X1A9A

Deputy Comptroller

EDE:mes

rel

CUMPINEMITAL

CONFIDENTIAL

9 April 1951

MENTE ANTICE FOR I

THE COLPTROLLER

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE ACED OF

SUDJECT:

Administrative Policies Coverning the Use

of faricabe

consistencies between the Fiscal and Finance Divisions in the actor of accepting or rejecting claims for reinburse ent of taxi feres. They also have called attention to the fact that paragraph of the Confidential Finals Regulations contains no positive attendent of administrative policy regarding the use of taxicabs. Each of the three circumstances cited in the regulation partain evaluatively to the use of taxis under security conditions which warrant or require the neares of government or public transportation facilities. Consequently, by implication at least, taxis may never be satherised for other reasons. Lastly, attention has been called to the Standardized Government Travel Regulations, an accorded 1 October 1950. The wording used in Testion 3, Paragraph II, seems to indicate a some lenient and possibly realistic government view toward the use of taxis.

- 2. The only oriteria cited in the Standardized Sovernment Travel Regulations, however, is that the use of such facilities be subscrized or approved as "advantagoous to the government". The result is that neither the employee nor the approving officer has any clear concept as to when the use of this may be properly authorised or approved, particularly when taxis are used at the designated post of duty.
- 3. I recommend in view of the above, that the CFM's be amended to include a positive statement of policy permitting the use of taxicabs, and, secondly, that a series of circumstances or oriteria be prepared which can be issued in appropriate stainistrative instructions for the guidance of employees approving and enthorising officers, and Fiscal and Finance Pertifying Officers.

Deputy Comptroller

25X1A9A

25X1

16 April 1951

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE COMPTROLLER

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

SUBJECT:

Administrative Policies Governing the

Use of Taxicabs

REFERENCE:

My memo of 9 April 1951, Same Subject

There are attached the following memoranda which supplement my referenced memorandum:

- a. Memo dtd 5 April 51 to the Deputy Comptroller from the Finance Division; Subject: Audit Policies Governing Unvouchered Funds
- Memo (copy) dtd 20 March 51 to the Acting Chief,
 Finance Division from the Deputy Comptroller;
 Subject: Audit Policies Governing Unvouchered Funds.

25X1A9A

Deputy Comptroller

Encls - 2
Memos listed above

MEMORANDUM

5 April 1951

TO : Deputy Comptroller

FROM: Finance Division

SUBJ: Audit Policies Governing Unvouchered Funds

- 1. Reference is made to your memorandum dated 20 March 1951, subject same as above, wherein it is requested that the Finance Division prepare a list of justifications for the use of taxicabs generally submitted by the persons requesting reimbursement from unvouchered funds.
- 2. A review of a considerable number of claims for reimbursement for taxicabs in Washington reveals the following justifications as predominant:
 - (a) Transportation in company with individuals employed by CIA in a covert capacity which precluded use of Government transportation for security reasons.
 - (b) Transportation to a covert meeting place which precluded the use of Government transportation for security reasons.
 - (c) Transportation required to meet an urgent fixed appointment at a time when Government transportation was not available.
 - (d) Transportation required to transport unusually bulky documents or delicate or cumbersome equipment at a time when Government transportation was unavailable and an urgent need existed for this service.
 - (e) For general operational use when pool cars were not available.
- 3. It is to be noted that the revised Standardized Government Travel Regulations, as amended 1 October 1950, states in Section 3, Paragraph 11, that:

"The hiring of boat, automobile, taxicab, aircraft, livery, or other special conveyance will be allowed if the use of such facilities is authorized or approved as advantageous to the Government whenever the employee is engaged on official business within or outside his designated post of duty." (Underlining supplied.)

Accordingly, it is felt that Paragraph of the Confidential Funds Regulations should be amended to establish the Agency policy relative to the determination of "advantageous to the Government". It is felt that Release 20021087221 CIAPREP 58-00071A00018084000861

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/08/22 14-15-56-00071A000100040003-1

- 2 -

saving, and other pertinent factors should be spelled out in the Regulations to provide for a consistent and equitable manner of reviewing and auditing claims for the reimbursement for the use of taxicabs.

Acting	Denuty	Chief	Finance	Division
	Dopady	وعصندن	TITITITIE	DIATRIOII

25X1A9A

20 March 1951

MEMORANDUM FOR: ACTING CHIEF, FINANCE DIVISION

SUBJECT:

Audit Policies Governing Unvouchered Funds

- In a recent conversation with the Comptroller, you made the statement that in some respects the audit policies, criteria and requirements governing expenditures of unvouchered funds were more restrictive than those applicable to vouchered funds. Consistent with this statement, several persons from the covert offices have made similar statements in the past year.
- It would appear highly desirable to determine the exact nature of these alleged variations and to eliminate them wherever possible as it is obviously undesirable that this Agency have varying policies and procedures governing the same types of expenditures.
- It is suggested that a comparative study be specifically made of possible variations of vouchered and unvouchered audit standards governing travel expenses, and, in particular, the use of taxicabs. I believe that the standards under which taxicabs may be used for reasons of security are well established. However, there appears to be no positive statement in Agency Regulations as to when taxicabs may be utilized as being in the best interests of the Government where security is not the predominant factor. This means that taxicab expenses are allowed or disallowed on the basis of the justifications presented by the persons submitting the vouchers. It is suggested that you prepare a list of circumstances which the Finance Division and the Audit Division normally consider adequate. A similar list secured from the Fiscal Division will provide a basis for comparison and elimination of inconsistencies in practice.

•	
Deputy	Comptroller

25X1A9A

EDE:mes

Proposed	Amendment	-	CIA	Regulation	
TTOPODOG	zanomomom		0.1.11	Tro Para arom	

25X1

Incidental Travel at Posts of Duty

- A. As a general rule, government or public (street car, bus, etc.) transportation shall be used for travel at an employee's post of duty. Employees may travel by taxi or personal automobile, as provided in the Standardized Government Travel Regulations, where such travel is authorized or approved as advantageous to the Government, in terms of the press of business, relative time and expense of the various means of transportation, value to the Agency of the employee's time, protection of security, and other factors.
- B. Reimbursement for travel by taxi without prior written orders shall be limited to the metropolitan area of an employee's post of duty except as may be specially authorized or approved in individual cases to meet emergency situations. Reimbursement for travel by private automobile shall normally be made only where the travel was made pursuant to a travel order or prior authorization. Reimbursement for private automobile travel shall be made on a mileage basis in lieu of actual cost.
- C. Agency Form No., "Claim and Receipt Chit" may be submitted for reimbursement for incidental travel by taxi or public transportation performed by an employee at his post of duty. To expedite the handling of such claims, the Comptroller may establish petty cash funds in the Transportation Division and elsewhere for the processing of such claims.

į	CLAIM AND RECEIPT CHIT
(FRONT)	Received \$\frac{\parabold{representing reimbursement for}}{\text{actual official expenses for:}} \text{taxi, bus or streetcar}
	Date No. Trips Amount
	I certify that I have not nor will I be reimbursed for the above expenses from any other source and that such expenses were not incurred in travel between home or places where meals were taken, and places of duty. Justification for this expense is shown on reverse hereof.
	Approved Date Signature
•	
(BACK)	// 1. Government transportation not available in reasonable time and urgent fixed appointment.
	2. Government transportation not useable due security reasons.
	// 3. Other reasons why advantageous to government. (Specify)